### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Brightmark Plastics Renewal LLC, et al.,1

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 25-10472 (LSS)

(Jointly Administered)

## NOTICE OF FILING OF AUCTION TRANSCRIPT

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on April 4, 2025, the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "<u>Court</u>") entered the Order (I) Approving Bidding Procedures for the Sale of Substantially All of the Debtors' Assets, (II) Authorizing the Debtors to Designate One or More Stalking Horse Bidders and to Provide Bid Protections, (III) Scheduling an Auction and Approving the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, (IV) Approving Assumption and Assignment Procedures, (V) Scheduling a Sale Hearing and Approving the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (VI) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 85] (the "<u>Bidding Procedures Order</u>").

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that, on April 4, 2025, the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the "<u>Debtors</u>") filed the *Notice of Sale, Bidding Procedures, Auction, Sale Hearing, and Other Deadlines Related Thereto* [Docket No. 86] (the "<u>Notice</u>").<sup>2</sup> Pursuant to the Notice and Bidding Procedures Order, the Auction took place on Monday, May 7, 2025.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, together with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Brightmark Plastics Renewal LLC (7907); Brightmark Plastics Renewal Indiana LLC (7118); and Brightmark Plastics Renewal Services LLC (3789). The Debtors' headquarters are located at 1725 Montgomery St, Floor 3, San Francisco, CA 94111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used, but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Bidding Procedures Order and the Notice, as applicable.

### PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, for the convenience of the Court and all

parties in interest, the transcript of the Auction is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

Dated: May 8, 2025 Wilmington, Delaware Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James R. Risener III Jeremy W. Ryan (No. 4057) R. Stephen McNeill (No. 5210) Brett M. Haywood (No. 6166) Katelin A. Morales (No. 6683) James R. Risener III (No. 7334) Andrew C. Ehrmann (No. 7395) POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP 1313 N. Market Street, 6th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 984-6000 Facsimile: (302) 658-1192 Email: jryan@potteranderson.com rmcneill@potteranderson.com bhaywood@potteranderson.com kmorales@potteranderson.com jrisener@potteranderson.com aehrmann@potteranderson.com

*Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession* 

## EXHIBIT A

\*\*\*UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT\*\*\* 1 This unedited rough transcript draft is uncertified 2 3 and may contain incorrect punctuation, misspelled proper 4 names and/or terminology, an occasional reporter's note, and/or inaccurate/nonsensical word combinations. There 5 6 WILL BE discrepancies between this form and the final 7 form. 8 9 Please keep in mind that the final certified transcript's page and line numbers WILL NOT match the 10 rough draft due to the addition and/or editing of title 11 pages, indices, appearances of counsel, paragraphing, 12 13 formatting, and other changes. 14 15 Due to the need to correct entries prior to certification, parties agree to use this transcript 16 draft only for the purpose of augmenting counsel's notes 17 and may not be cited or used in any way or at any time 18 to rebut or contradict the certified transcription of 19 20 the proceedings and should not be distributed in any form to anyone who has no connection to this case. 21

1

1	
2	
3	Docket No.
4	
5	
6	
7	Wednesday, May 7, 2025
8	10:00 a.m.
9	
10	
11	SSG Capital Advisors, LLC
12	300 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 420
13	West Conshohocken , PA 19428
14	
15	

## Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 4 of 96

1 APPEARANCES 2 List of Attendees: Neil Gupta , Moderator and Debtor Investment Banker 3 4 Alex Lamm , Debtor Investment Banker SSG Advisors, LLC Nick Vernacchio, Debtor Investment Banker SSG 5 Advisors, LLC 6 Brett Haywood, Debtor Counsel from Potter Anderson & 7 Corroon LLP 8

```
Jeremy Ryan , Debtor Counsel from Potter Anderson &
9
10
    Corroon LLP
11
    Ciara Sprance , Debtor Counsel Potter Anderson &
12
    Corroon LLP
    Dylan Rush , Debtor Investment Banker SSG Advisors,
13
14
    LLC
    Mark Chesen , Debtor Investment Banker SSG Advisors,
15
16
     LLC
17
    Andrew Ehermann , Debtor Counsel from Potter Anderson
     & Corroon LLP
18
    Michael Whittaker , Debtor Counsel from Potter
19
20
     Anderson & Corroon LLP
21
     Bob Powell , Bidder Brightmark Ashley Parent
     Justin Goldstein , Bidder Brightmark Ashley Parent
22
23
     Paul Rosenblatt , Counsel from Kilpatrick Townsend &
24
     Stockton LLP
```

2	Stockton LLP
3	Mark Desgrosseilliers, Counsel from Chipman Brown
4	Richard Jackson , Investor Jackson Investment Group
5	James Simon , Bidder Braven Enviromental LLC
6	Jeffrey Neumann , Bidder Braven Enviromental LLC
7	Jason Russell , Counsel from Morris, Nichols, Arsht &
8	Tunnell LLP
9	Robert Dehney , Counsel from Morris, Nichols, Arsht &
10	Tunnell LLP
11	Nadeem Nisar , Investor Fortistar
12	Duncan Bourgoin , Investor Fortistar
13	Matt Kimble , Investor Avenue Capital
14	Jeff McMahon , Bidder Freepoint Eco-Systems
15	Mark Worden , Bidder Freepoint Eco-Systems
16	Kathy Yang , Bidder Freepoint Eco-Systems
17	Jason Boland , Counsel from Norton Rose
18	Jennifer Zhang , Counsel from Norton Rose
19	Beth Brownstein , Counsel from ArentFox
20	Mark Angelov, Counsel from ArentFox
21	Tal Unrad , Counsel from ArentFox
22	James Britton , Counsel from ArentFox
23	Adam Saltzman , Financial Advisor FTI Consulting, Inc.
24	Andrew Scruton , Financial Advisor FTI Consulting,
25	Inc.

```
Liz Volk , Financial Advisor FTI Consulting, Inc.
1
    Michael Slade , Bidder UMB Bank, NA
2
    Craig Jalbert , Chief Restructuring Officer Vedolino &
 3
    Lowey, P.C.
4
    Timothy Bernlohr , TIndependent Director JB Management
5
6
    Consulting, LLC
    Jake Reisner , Debtor Counsel Potter Anderson &
7
    Corroon LLP
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
```

21

22

23

24

25

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MR. GUPTA: Going on the record now, 3 this is the auction for the sale of the assets of Brightmark Plastics Renewal, LLC; Brightmark Plastics 4 Renewal Services LLC; and Brightmark Plastics Renewal, 5 Indiana LLC, in connection with the jointly 6 administered Chapter 11 bankruptcy cases in the United 7 States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, 8 9 under lead case 25-10472 and the bidding procedures order entered by the court on April 4, 2025. 10 11 We'll take appearances for the record in a moment. But as a reminder, if you are not 12

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 9 of 96

13 speaking, please keep your microphone on mute unless 14 and until you have anything to say for the record. 15 Today's auction is being recorded and 16 transcribed by a court reporter. We ask that any statements or comments by any party be limited to one 17 18 designated representative -- possible so that the 19 court reporter can properly document the auction. 20 We also ask that each individual who is 21 going to speak on behalf of a bidder identify yourself 22 for the record each time you speak for the benefit of the court reporter. Breakout rooms will be available 23 24 for consultation if required.

25

All statements and comments or comments

by any party are restricted to the submission of
 qualified bids and over bids; clarification and
 confirmation of qualified bids and over bids; request
 for time to break for consultation; bidding
 procedures; and active auction proceedings only.

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 10 of 96

In accordance with the auction protocol 6 7 previously circulated to the parties, SSU reserves the 8 right to mute and/or remove a party deemed to be in violation of the auction protocol. 9 With that, we'll now take appearances. 10 11 So for the debtors, we have our chief restructuring officer on the line. 12 13 MR. JALBERT: Yes, correct. Craig 14 Jalbert's on. 15 MR. GUPTA: We have our independent director. 16 17 MR. BERNLOHR : Timothy Bernlohr is on. 18 MR. GUPTA: And then in the room here we have counsel for the debtors, Potter Anderson. 19 20 MR. HAYWOOD: Brett Haywood of Potter 21 Anderson on behalf of the debtors. 22 MR. GUPTA: You just want to announce 23 everybody --MR. HAYWOOD: We've got Jeremy Ryan of 24 Potter Anderson on behalf of the debtors. Jake 25

Reisner, Andrew Ehermann, Ciara Sprance, and Mike
 Whittaker, all of Potter Anderson on behalf of the
 debtors.

4 MR. GUPTA: Okay, great. And for 5 investment banker -- for the debtors, SSG Capital 6 Advisors, we have Neil Gupta, Alex Lamm, and Nick 7 Vernacchio here in person, and Mark Chesen and Dylan 8 Rush via Zoom.

9 Now, for the bidders. And I'll ask 10 each qualified bidder to identify and confirm the 11 individual representative who's authorized to speak on 12 behalf of and bond such bidder, and maybe use that 13 individual representative to appear on behalf of the 14 group.

So first we have Brightmark Plastics,
Ashley HoldCo, LLC, who we'll refer to as Brightmark
Parent going forward.

18 MR. ROSENBLATT: Paul Rosenblatt on
19 behalf of Brightmark Parent. I'll be the person
20 speaking on behalf of Brightmark Parent.

21 MR. GUPTA: Okay. Thank you, Paul. 22 Then we have Freepoint Eco-Systems Bartow Supply LLC, 23 we will refer to as Freepoint going forward.

24 MR. MCMAHON : Yeah, this is Jeff

25 McMahon for Freepoint, and I'll be the person speaking

9

1 on behalf of Freepoint.

16

2	MR. GUPTA: Okay, great. Then Braven
3	Environmental LLC, who we will refer to as Braven.
4	MR. SIMON: And this is Jim Simon with
5	Braven Environmental. I'll be speaking on behalf of
6	Braven.
7	MR. GUPTA: Allright. Thanks, Jim.
8	And then UMB Bank, NA who we will refer to as UMB
9	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from
10	ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB, and I will be
11	speaking for UMB. But I do have my partners on the
12	line, Mark Angelov, Tal Unrad, and James Britton. And
13	we also have our financial advisors on the line from
14	FTI Consulting, and Michael Slade from UMB is also on
15	the line.

MR. GUPTA: Okay, great. Thanks, Beth.

17	All right. The bidding procedures
18	order provides that consultation parties may attend
19	and observe this auction, but only qualified bidders
20	may participate. As of now, we do not have any, but
21	consultation parties would be asked to mute themselves
22	for the duration of the auction unless a statement is
23	required for the record. All other observers will be
24	placed on meet at this time.
25	Now, I'll be asking each qualified

bidder to confirm a few matters under the bidding 1 procedures order. First, we're asking each of the 2 3 designated representatives to confirm their bids as 4 previously submitted are currently in force, in effect for all purposes of this auction, that the bids are 5 binding, in good faith, and bona fide offers to 6 purchase the assets in accordance with the bidding 7 8 procedures order. Braven, can you please confirm? 9 MR. SIMON: I confirm for Braven.

10 MR. GUPTA: Brightmark Parent? 11 MR. ROSENBLATT: Confirmed for 12 Brightmark Parent. 13 MR. GUPTA: Freepoint MR. MCMAHON : Confirmed for Freepoint. 14 15 MR. GUPTA: And UMB? MS. BROWNSTEIN : Confirmed for UMB. 16 17 MR. GUPTA: Thanks. Lastly, I'll ask 18 each of the designated representatives to confirm that 19 any verbal bids made today at this auction shall bind the bidder and remain legally enforceable under the 20 21 terms of the respective asset purchase agreement 22 extended by each party with the sole exception being 23 the change in price as may be bid during this auction, 24 and that each of the bidders agree that, after the 25 auction, they will execute and deliver to the debtor's

1 an amendment to the previously submitted asset

2 purchase agreement so that it conform with bids that

3 are made during the auction.

4	Braven, can you please confirm?
5	MR. SIMON: Raven is confirmed.
6	MR. GUPTA: Brightmark Parent?
7	MR. ROSENBLATT: Brightmark Parent
8	confirms.
9	MR. GUPTA: Freepoint?
10	MR. MCMAHON : Freepoint confirms.
11	MR. GUPTA: UMB?
12	MS. BROWNSTEIN : UMB confirms.
13	MR. GUPTA: Thank you. All right.
14	Pursuant to the bidding procedures order, the debtors
15	confirmed that a form asset purchase agreement was
16	publicly filed and noticed to all parties in interest
17	at Docket Number 131 in the lead case. A copy of the
18	asset purchase agreements between the debtors and each
19	of the qualified bidders was provided to the bidders
20	through their respective counsel prior to this
21	auction.
22	In accordance with the bidding
23	procedures order, the debtors informed all qualified
24	bidders of the qualified bids submitted by the bid

25 deadline and the baseline bid that will set the price

1	at which bidding will commence.
2	For the record, the debtors have
3	received four bids for their assets. The debtors have
4	deemed all bids to be qualified bids under the bidding
5	procedures order. The first bid is from Brightmark
6	Parent, who has offered a base price of \$7.5 million
7	in cash, and we received a cash deposit currently
8	being held in escrow on the amount of \$750,000.
9	I'll ask the Potter Anderson team if
10	there's any other points
11	MR. HAYWOOD: So other consideration
11 12	MR. HAYWOOD: So other consideration for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit
12	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit
12 13	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit bidding \$7.0 million of their credit facility. That
12 13 14	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit bidding \$7.0 million of their credit facility. That credit bid is being applied to assets on which the
12 13 14 15	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit bidding \$7.0 million of their credit facility. That credit bid is being applied to assets on which the Brightmark Parent lender has a first lien.
12 13 14 15 16	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit bidding \$7.0 million of their credit facility. That credit bid is being applied to assets on which the Brightmark Parent lender has a first lien. They have also agreed to assume what
12 13 14 15 16 17	for the Brightmark Parent bid include they are credit bidding \$7.0 million of their credit facility. That credit bid is being applied to assets on which the Brightmark Parent lender has a first lien. They have also agreed to assume what are referred to in the form asset purchase agreement

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 17 of 96

21 million is what the debtors have valued the assumption

22 of those liabilities to be in terms of value to the

23 estate.

24 So if I'm missing anything else from

25 the consideration being paid, that is, we do recognize

their purchase price states 27 million, but from the purpose of the debtor's valuation, it is \$7.5 million cash, a \$7 million credit bid, assumed liabilities of the straddle liabilities of which the debtors are placing a value at \$2.8 million.

And then they will be assuming what is 6 referred to as the bridge loan, which is a 7 pre-petition secured loan that they are assuming in 8 terms of value to the estate. The debtors understand 9 that's being assumed, but the debtors are not adding a 10 11 value to that in terms of the value of the debt. But 12 we do understand that that is today going to be an assumed liability. 13

14 MR. GUPTA: Yeah, I think for purposes 15 of the auction, we're considering their bid \$7.5 16 million as the net bid for comparison purposes. 17 MR. HAYWOOD: For normalization. Now, Mr. Haywood has a couple of points to clarify with 18 19 Brightmark Parent on their bid. Or Ms. Sprance, I'm 20 sorry. 21 MS. SPRANCE : Yes. Hi. Thank you 22 very much. So for Brightmark Parent, could you 23 confirm the -- one of the closing conditions was the written commitment from UMB to remit accounts 24 25 receivable. Are you able to state on the record that

14

T	you if be willing to take that out subject to this
2	being addressed by the sale order?
3	MR. ROSENBLATT: Yeah, that provision
4	deals with post-closing collections that would
5	erroneously be sent to UMB and not the buyer entity.
6	But we are willing to accept either an agreement with

you'll be utilized to take that out subject to this

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 19 of 96

UMB to turn those collections over or a provision of a 7 8 sale order that would direct UMB to do so. MS. SPRANCE : Okay. Thank you, Paul. 9 10 And then one other point. Section 212 governing the effect of termination sets forth that the seller's 11 12 exclusive and sole remedy in the event of termination by sellers for purchaser's breach is the deposit and 13 interest thereon. We've requested that that section 14 15 be stricken. 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: I'll get back to you on that after the break. 17 18 MS. SPRANCE : Thank you. I think that's all that we had for Brightmark Parent, unless 19 anybody else from the Potter team has anything to add. 20 Thank you. 21 22 MR. GUPTA: The second bid is from 23 Braven who offered a base purchase price of \$5 million of cash. We did receive a cash deposit currently 24 being held in escrow on the amount of \$500,000. They 25

are also assuming the straddle liability that we are 1 2 valuing at \$2.8 million. They are -- the other thing, 3 we are adjusting their bid down by \$100,000 dollars to account for the cost of cleaning tanks, which will be 4 the responsibility of the debtors according to their 5 APA. 6 7 So as such, you know, similar to 8 Brightmark Parent, we're kind of keeping the \$5 9 million as their bid, but adjusting that down by a \$100,000. So for purposes of comparison in the 10 11 auction, the Braven bid will be \$4.9 million. And I'll turn it over to Potter Anderson. 12 13 MR. RYAN: Yes. So we just need confirmation from Braven, given that the 30-year feed 14 15 stock agreement -- Schedule 1 of Braven's asset 16 purchase agreement is held by a non-debtor affiliate. 17 We just need confirmation that that contract is not 18 material. MR. SIMON: This is Jim Simon for 19 20 Braven. We accept that that's not material. 21 MR. RYAN: -- unless anyone says 22 anything -- okay. That should be it for Braven. 23 MR. SIMON: Neil, I've got a comment to add if now is a good time, on the Braven bid. 24 In

25 addition to our \$5 million cash offer, we made an

alternative bid of a \$25 million note, senior secured
note with interest prepaid and payable over a
five-year term. We're wondering how that's being
valued in this process of that auction.

MR. GUPTA: Sure. Yeah, yeah, and 5 thanks for bringing that up. Yeah, that was -- we 6 looked at the two options, either \$5 million of cash 7 8 or the \$25 million note and determined that it was too 9 difficult to value what the note would be -- the value of that to the estate. Ultimately, just with that 10 being -- I know Braven did provide some additional 11 information about their business plan, but given the 12 13 uncertainty around that, we felt that the \$5 million cash offer was something that we could value and was 14 15 potentially higher than the \$25 million note.

MR. RYAN: And to be clear, you know,we understand that's an alternate form of

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 22 of 96

18 consideration that was being offered to the seller 19 because those proceeds would go to the secured lender 20 who is not a consultation party because they are a 21 bidder. We have not been able to discuss with the 22 secured lender, UMB, what their view of that value 23 would be.

The debtors are amenable should Bravenprevail at the auction, if -- which will be on a cash

1 basis. If Braven -- and after the auction is over, they want to enter a discussion with the secured 2 lender as to whether the secured lender would rather 3 receive cash or a note for their proceeds, the debtors 4 will not object to such a discussion after the auction 5 so that that optionality is preserved for Braven 6 7 post-auction. 8 MR. SIMON: Okay, thank you.

9 MR. RYAN: And that was Jeremy Ryan,

10 Madam Court Reporter.

11	MR. GUPTA: All right. The third bid
12	is from Freepoint, who has offered a base purchase
13	price of \$5 million of cash. We did receive a cash
14	deposit being held in escrow for \$500,000. We are
15	also adjusting their bid down by \$100,000 to capture
16	the cost of cleaning tanks as stated in their APA.
17	Freepoint is, is not assuming the straddle
18	liabilities, so we are also adjusting their bid down
19	for that \$2.8 million estimate for straddle
20	liabilities.
21	So between the \$100,000 for cleaning
22	tanks and the 2.8, their net bid for purposes of the
23	auction is 2.1 million. Freepoint also offered a net
24	profit interest, which would be payable starting in
25	2027. Could be upwards of \$25 million based off of a

1 a formula for sharing profits.

2 Similarly to how we viewed the Braven3 alternative consideration, it was too difficult for us

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 24 of 96

4 to underwrite knowing that it was a couple years out,
5 and not having information about the business plan and
6 the probability of achieving any of that net profit
7 interest. So we are not assigning any value to that
8 in their bid.

9 MR. HAYWOOD: And one clarification for 10 Freepoint -- for the record, this is Brett Haywood of 11 Potter Anderson and Corroon on behalf of the debtors. 12 We had asked Freepoint, in line with other bidders, 13 that the reps and warranties in the draft asset 14 purchase -- or proposed asset purchase agreement 15 expire at closing.

Can the representative from Freepoint 16 confirm that, if they are the winning bidder, the APA 17 will be revised such that those reps and warranties 18 expire at closing, in line with other bidders? 19 20 MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. Can I let Jason 21 Boland respond to that for Freepoint? MR. HAYWOOD: Of course. Thank you. 22 MR. BOLAND : Sure. Jason Boland for 23 24 Norton Rose on behalf of Freepoint. We can confirm that with respect to the reps and warranties. And 25

1	given the deducts, Neil, you just went through, what
2	we would appreciate as we go through this auction
3	process just to make sure there's no confusion on what
4	our bid and amount is, if we can just come up with a
5	specific number each time we go around the table.
6	That would be helpful.
7	MR. GUPTA: Sure. Yeah. We'll once
8	we start the auction process, we'll put up our tracker
9	of the spread of what the bids are, and we can give
10	you any clarification you need, Jason.
11	MR. BOLAND : Appreciate that.
12	MR. GUPTA: All right. The fourth bid
13	is from UMB Bank who has offered a base price of \$5
14	million in the form of a credit bid. They are also
15	not assuming the straddle liabilities, so we are
16	adjusting their bid down by \$2.8 million to account
17	for it. As such, their net bid for purposes of the
18	auction is \$2.2 million. I'll turn to Potter
19	Anderson. Any clarifications?
20	MR. REISNER : Yeah, this is Jake
21	Reisner for Potter Anderson on behalf of the debtors.

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 26 of 96

20

- 22 We would just ask for a few confirmations on the
- 23 record. First, could UMB please confirm that the
- 24 interim covenant set forth in your Article 6 can come
- 25 out of the the APA?

1 MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth 2 Brownstein for ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. The 3 discussion was that we would walk it back for 4 maintaining the business basic. So we will work on 5 language to address those covenants. MR. REISNER : Understood. And that's 6 7 my understanding. Apologies for the confusion. 8 MS. BROWNSTEIN : No problem. 9 MR. REISNER : Second, could you please confirm that former Section 6.06 E regarding 10 irreparable damage and specific performance as a 11 12 remedy can come back into the APA form? 13 MS. BROWNSTEIN : We did speak to the debtors about this request, and we are going to speak 14

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 27 of 96

15 to our client during the break. 16 MR. REISNER : Thank you. And finally, 17 could you please confirm that the representations and 18 warranties will expire as of the closing date? And those are specifically with reference to 8.06 E is 19 20 where this is found. 21 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Again, we did speak 22 to the debtors about this prior to starting the 23 auction, and we will revert with our client during the 24 break on that point. MR. REISNER : Great, thank you very 25

21

1 much.

2 MR. GUPTA: All right, and one other 3 point I'll make. I know we did circulate the initial 4 versions of each one of the APAs to all the parties 5 last night, that there are further revised red lines 6 that we received. Those are prior to all the on the 7 record clarifications we made. But we we will be

## Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 28 of 96

8 circulating those to everybody as well.

9	Yeah. Yep. So the debtors in
10	consultation with the sorry, the, the debtors have
11	determined that the bid of Brightmark Parent for 7 and
12	a half million dollars will be the starting bid for
13	the auction. The initial bidding will be done with
14	minimum over bids of \$250,000 each round, as long as
15	there are well, first off, we're going to ask
16	parties to first round will be to normalize
17	everybody up to the 7 and a half million dollars
18	baseline bid. And then subsequent to that, each round
19	will be a \$250,000 minimum overbid.
20	As long as there are more than two
21	bidders still active, each round, all parties must
22	match the highest bid of that round in order to
23	continue. So round two, when we're at 7.75 million of
24	the minimum, everybody would have to match that. If

25 somebody would decide to go higher, then that round,

everybody would have to match that to go to the next
 round.

3 Once we get to a point where there's 4 only two bidders left, then we'll just have minimum 5 overbids for each party, each round. Anything that I 6 missed there?

7 MR. HAYWOOD: Yeah, are there any 8 questions on that? We want to make sure we're very 9 clear on -- it'll be a two-stage auction on that. So 10 if parties have questions initially, we'd like to 11 answer them now so that everyone can hear the 12 questions.

But you know, the idea is that all four parties would hit a number, and then it's the next increment for all four parties to meet. Only when we're down to two bidders will it revert to the traditional auction format of, you know, bid to one, and then the next one has to top.

So as Neil said, assuming the other three bidders normalize their bids up to the baseline bid of the Parent, the next round will be \$7.75 million, and every party will have to only meet that. A party does have the option of exceeding that in that round and then -- you know, so it's 775, but if someone in round two wants to go to 7.9, everyone else

1 would have to go to 7.9.

2 When we are down to two bidders, in the 3 auction, we will revert to the normal auction format. In the event that the baseline bidder is one of the 4 5 final two bids -- and for hypothetical purposes, if two parties drop out after 10.5 million, the next bid 6 would be at a lower bid increment. We will discuss 7 that with consultation parties, if any, and the 8 9 baseline bidder we'll get the benefit of the bid going 10 to the other party.

11 So hypothetically, if it was 10.5 12 million with two parties remaining, the next bid could 13 be 10.6 million. If it's Brightmark Parent and any of 14 the other three, the bid will go to that other 15 non-baseline bidder.

16 If it is only two parties remaining,
17 neither of which are the baseline bidder, we know we
18 will have at least one consultation party, and we will

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 31 of 96

consult with the consultation party or parties as towho the bid should go to in a fair manner.

21	MR. GUPTA: And maybe Nick, are you
22	able to just pull up the spreadsheet just so we can
23	show you guys exactly how we're going to be comparing
24	the bids before we and Jeremy, are we breaking now,
25	or are we just going to start the auction now?

24

MR. RYAN: I think we should -- I think we can put the bid to the other three people, the other three bidders, Neil. We have the baseline bid and I do know that people have expressed a desire for baseline bid to talk about some of the clarifications on the APA.

7 And so when we come back, we'll ask for 8 those clarifications to be confirmed, and then for 9 people -- but I think, to be efficient, we should also 10 let people know what the incremental increase to their 11 bid value is in the perspective of the debtors to 12 reach the baseline bid value.

13	MR. GUPTA: Okay. Yeah. So if you
14	guys can see the screen that my colleague has shared
15	here, basically we're valuing that the Braven bid at
16	4.9, the Freepoint bid at 2.1, UMB at 2.2 and the
17	baseline bid from be Brightmark Parent at 7 and a half
18	million dollars.
19	So essentially, each party, to proceed,
20	would have to match the 7 and half million dollars.
21	So Freepoint's offer would have to increase by \$5.4
22	million. We would just call that a 7 and a half
23	million dollar bid, but technically, that would be a
24	\$10.4 million cash bid.
25	Similar for Braven, you'd have to

increase your bid by \$2.6 million in order to get to
 the 7 and a half. And UMB would have to increase
 their bid by \$5.3 million to get to the 7 and a half
 million. Any questions on that? No. Okay.

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 33 of 96

5	MR. RYAN: How long do parties think
6	they need for a break? We would suggest coming back -
7	- is 11:28. We would suggest coming back on at noon.
8	So do parties feel that is a sufficient amount of
9	time? Does anyone object to coming back at noon?
10	MR. SIMON: No objection here.
11	MR. RYAN: Hearing no objection and one
12	affirmative, no objection, we will break until noon.
13	And we are off the record, Madam Court Reporter.
14	(Off the record.)
15	MR. GUPTA: All right. Nick, can you
16	put up the auction spreadsheet now? So I know we have
17	this in a different order up here right now, but I
18	think first we'll go to Brightmark Parent. I know
19	there was at least one term from the APA that we were
20	hoping that Brightmark Parent would confirm before we
21	move ahead.
22	Paul, have you been able to confirm
23	that with your client?
24	MR. ROSENBLATT: I'm sorry, confirm
25	which point?

MS. SPRANCE : Yeah, I can say this. 1 This is Ciara Sprance from Potter Anderson on behalf 2 3 of the debtors. Paul, we're just wanting to follow up 4 on Section 212, effective termination. The request was to delete that provision. 5 6 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yeah, we'll agree to 7 do that. We've made some changes to the provision to delete that the deposit is the sole remedy. Was that 8 9 the issue you had? 10 MS. SPRANCE : I think that was perhaps the key issue there for us was that it was limiting 11 seller's remedy. So yes, I think subject to, you 12 13 know, our review of that revised section, I think that 14 addresses the point. So thank you, Paul. 15 MR. ROSENBLATT: Thank you. MR. GUPTA: Okay. So with with that 16 we'll confirm Brightmark Parent's baseline bid of 7 17 18 and a half million. Now, going to Braven, I think we've 19 20 confirmed everything we talked about in terms of the 21 APA. Would you like to increase your bid to match the 7 and a half million? Your net bid, essentially, to 22

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 35 of 96

23 be 7 and a half million dollars?

24 MR. SIMON: Neil, it's Jim Simon for

25 Braven. What we'd like to do is update our bid to \$5

million in cash at closing and a \$25 million five-year 1 2 note with interest pre-funded semi-annually, that note being senior secured. We see the software as far 3 exceeding the 7 and a half million dollar value placed 4 on the leading bid currently. 5 6 MR. GUPTA: So just so I have the terms 7 again, Jim, so a \$25 million note with a five-year term, what was the interest rate again? 8 9 MR. SIMON: We have it at 8 percent and pre-funded that interest on a semi-annual basis, 10 11 starting at the note closing. Note signing, I should 12 say. MR. GUPTA: Okay --13 14 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Sorry, can you repeat

that one more time? I apologize. Can you repeat that

15

16 one more time? The note --

17	MR. SIMON: Absolutely. \$25 million
18	senior secured note. This is in addition to I'll
19	start over. \$5 million in cash at closing. In
20	addition to that, a \$25 million senior secured note,
21	five-year term, 8 percent interest, with the interest
22	pre-funded semi-annually.
23	MR. GUPTA: I think we'll have to
24	probably discuss in terms of how to value that first
25	before move. But maybe before we do that, we can

1 go on to Freepoint first.

Freepoint. Would you -- I think we've cleared all the points from the APA we discussed earlier as well. Would you like to increase your net bid of 2.1 million to a net bid of 7 and a half million dollars, which would be a cash bid of 10.4 million? Or assuming the straddle; right? Assuming the straddle liabilities to make up for some of that.

MR. MCMAHON : Sorry, having audio 9 10 problems here. Can you hear me now? 11 MR. GUPTA: Yep, we can hear you, Jeff. MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. Okay. So just to 12 be clear, an all-cash bid of \$10.4 million from 13 14 Freepoint would be on par with the 7.5 opening bid; 15 right? 16 MR. GUPTA: Yep, that's correct. 17 MR. RYAN: Or assume the --18 MR. GUPTA: Yeah. Or -- right. Or if you would do a 7 and a half million dollar bid plus 19 assuming the straddle liabilities and --20 21 MR. RYAN: 7.6. 22 MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. So --23 MR. RYAN: A 7.6 cash plus assuming 24 straddle liability, or with those two deductions, would need to be a 10.4 million cash. 25

1 MR. GUPTA: Right.

2	MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. So just to keep
3	it simple from my perspective, we'll go with we
4	will increase our bid to 10.4 million cash. And just
5	to be clear, cash only. Their original APA, our
6	original bid, had a net profits interest concept. So
7	we'll remove that and go for a \$10.4 million cash bid.
8	MR. GUPTA: Okay. Now, moving moving
9	to UMB, I know there, there was still at least one
10	point in the APA, if not multiple points, to confirm.
11	UMB, are you able to confirm those points?
12	MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth
13	Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. I'm
14	going to let my partner Tal Unrad respond on the
15	specific APA points.
Ţ	specific ALA points.
16	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by
16	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by
16 17	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two
16 17 18	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two respective bond holders to have their legal teams
16 17 18 19	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two respective bond holders to have their legal teams approve any final changes. So we're hoping to get
16 17 18 19 20	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two respective bond holders to have their legal teams approve any final changes. So we're hoping to get that done in short order.
16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two respective bond holders to have their legal teams approve any final changes. So we're hoping to get that done in short order. The environmental matters, we have
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. UNRAD : I'll preface my comment by saying that we are still waiting for the two respective bond holders to have their legal teams approve any final changes. So we're hoping to get that done in short order. The environmental matters, we have modified those, and we'll be able to send those back

1 The two changes to the closing matters, 2 specific performance and the rep issues, those do 3 require an answer from the holders. So I can't give 4 you a definitive answer on those right now. 5 MR. GUPTA: Okay. Would -- so I guess 6 we can perhaps give -- since we have to break anyway, give you 20 minutes to --7 MR. RYAN: Yeah. And so -- this is 8 9 Jeremy Ryan on behalf of the debtors. Those are, you 10 know, from the debtor's perspective, two material 11 provisions that are out of sync with the other three 12 APAs. So assuming that UMB otherwise would 13 move their consideration to be equivalent to 14 15 Brightmark Parent, as Neil said, we'll give UMB another 20 minutes to confer with their principles. 16 17 And if they cannot get approval to move their APA to be in line with the other three APAs, the 18 debtors will then make an assessment as to whether UMB 19

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 40 of 96

20 should be proceeding with bidding, in fairness, or 21 whether there's a cash value to ascribe to that bid. 22 So the debtors have not made that 23 decision, but reserve all rights, should those two provisions remain out of sync with the other three 24 bidders. 25

31

1 MR. UNRAD : That's fair. And I guess 2 one thing I would suggest is if the other parties are 3 prepared now to move ahead with the bidding, you know, until an actual change in circumstances of the parties 4 of the bidders exists, I would suggest that maybe we 5 proceed with the bidding. 6 7 And if for whatever reason we're not 8 able to address those issues internally, we could either adjust the bid at that point appropriately or 9 10 pause once there is a change in the circumstances to address this specific issue. 11 MR. RYAN: The debtors aren't

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 41 of 96

13 comfortable with that right now. We are trying to run 14 a fair and transParent process, Tal, and these are two 15 points which the debtors view as having materiality 16 and also fundamental impacts on the fairness with 17 respect to the other bidders. 18 And we do need to know, you know, with

19 decision-making authority, where UMB lands on these 20 two points so that we can run a fair process with 21 respect to all four bidders. So we are not going to 22 proceed with the second round. Obviously, also, we 23 need to -- debtors need to confer and value the \$25 24 million note. So we --

25 MR. UNRAD : That's fair. Yeah, we

```
are -- the ask is out there, and hopefully, 20
minutes. I expect it will be, but we will work on it
as quickly as possible.
MR. RYAN: Thank you very much.
All right. We're going to adjourn, and
```

we'll be back on at -- let's just do 12:40. 6 7 (Off the record.) 8 MR. RYAN: And we are back on the 9 record. Chanyri, can you confirm? THE REPORTER: Yes. Yes. 10 11 MR. GUPTA: Nick, can you put up the 12 spreadsheet again? 13 All right. So after discussing 14 internally and with Braven as well, the debtors have 15 decided the \$25 million note -- we still just don't have enough evidence to assign value to the principal 16 17 payments. Part of the note is funding six months worth of -- pre-funding six months worth of interest 18 from the beginning, so that's the only tangible piece 19 to it that we can assign value. 20 21 So that the debtors have decided to 22 sign a value of \$1 million, which would be that six 23 months of interest funded on the \$25 million note immediately. So with that, Braven's bid would be a 24 net bid of \$5.9 million, and we would need another 25

1 \$1.6 million to meet the \$7.5 million baseline bid. 2 MR. SIMON: Neil, if you're looking for 3 a response, , I'll give you one now. This Jim Simon. We're not in a position to up our cash bid that much 4 at the moment. We do disagree with the lack of value 5 being ascribed to the \$25 million note. In any 6 7 business transaction, that would be given significant 8 value far and exceeding the 7 and a half million 9 dollars.

10 To that end, if this is the decision of 11 of this body, we'd like to have the opportunity to 12 talk with the bond holders about opportunities to 13 utilize our assets and technology in response to their 14 successful future bid.

MR. GUPTA: Okay. So I guess you're saying you're not able to increase your bid. But if UMB were to be the winning bidder, you'd want to have discussions with them; is that right? MR. SIMON: That's correct. And even as soon as during the auction, if we're not going to be considered a bidder anymore, then we'd like to talk

22 with them sooner rather than later.

23 MR. RYAN: You're free to talk to them

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 44 of 96

24 at the conclusion of the auction. You know, their bid

25 is premised on being a liquidation bid. So you know,

1 we have not considered their bid as a going concern 2 But I think it's -- that's just the bid they bid. 3 have submitted, very clearly that they were submitting a liquidation bid. But as of right now, they're still 4 a bidder. So I think for right now, we're going to 5 6 say you're free to talk with them when the auction 7 concludes. MR. SIMON: Very good. 8 9 MR. GUPTA: I guess moving to UMB, then, it seems like -- I think we have cleared 10 everything. So at least from an APA standpoint, we're 11 on a consistent basis with other bidders, UMB would 12 have to increase their bid by, by \$5.3 million to meet 13 14 the \$7.5 million baseline bid. 15 UMB, would you like to increase your

16

bid?

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 45 of 96

35

17	MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth
18	Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. So
19	just to confirm that the email that was sent by Tal
20	today at I believe it was 12:59 with the redline,
21	that that red line was acceptable to the debtors and
22	we are bidding off that APA, to confirm?
23	MR. RYAN: Yes, that that red line was
24	generally acceptable. I mean, we may have small
25	revisions, but in concept, we view that as an

1 acceptable redline.

MS. BROWNSTEIN : Okay. Okay. Then --2 and we can confirm that we are prepared to submit a --3 using a credit bid for the \$7.5 million. 4 5 MR. RYAN: And so to be clear, that 6 would be --MR. GUPTA: Yeah, a \$10.3 million 7 8 credit bid less the \$2.8 million. 9 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Correct. Correct.

## Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 46 of 96

10 Accounting for the adjustment, yes.

11	MR. GUPTA: Okay. All right. Now
12	we'll move on to round two. So the minimum bid in
13	round two will be 7 and from a net bid perspective,
14	as well, with the adjustment. So it'll be \$7.75
15	million. So the bid will go to Freepoint first. Your
16	cash bid would have to be \$10.65 million, and then
17	with the adjustments, to be a \$7.75 million bid.
18	MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. With the
19	departure of Braven, I think we'd like to request a
20	breakout session, because the way that this \$2.8
21	million is treated now without Braven in the mix I
22	think requires some additional discussion.
23	MR. RYAN: I think we'd like to have
24	that discussion on the record.

25 MR. MCMAHON : Okay. So the way we see

it right now is, essentially, Brightmark Parent is
 getting credit for what is essentially a credit bid of

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 47 of 96

a portion of their DIP, which is unsecured. And it 3 was different when Braven was in. That's a third 4 5 party taking over liabilities. 6 But with Brightmark Parent in here, 7 who's the owner of the DIP, essentially those transition liabilities should be funded under the DIP. 8 9 And if they want to include that as part of their bid, they can credit bid that, but it shouldn't be treated 10 11 as a cash adjustment like it's being treated here. 12 And let me just defer to my colleague, Jason or Mark. MR. BOLAND : Just to add to that --13 14 this is Jason Boland, Norton Rose. You know, if the DIP, which is a subordinated DIP, is funded to --15

16 well, which is funded to pay those straddle costs, I 17 mean, that \$2.8 million is dollar for dollar reduction 18 of the secured debt versus what is effectively, as 19 Jeff said, a credit bid by Brightmark Parent right 20 now.

21 So we don't see these being dollar for 22 dollar comparisons of the bid, and we think our bid is 23 well superior than the existing bids on the table with 24 Braven dropping out.

25

MR. WORDEN : Yeah. And, and this is

Mark Worden from Freepoint. So the Parent is already 1 2 obligated under the DIP to provide 13 million of 3 financing. My understanding is that limit has not 4 been reached. So the debtor is fully able to pull the 5 2.8 million that we're talking about here from DIP financing. 6 7 Why wouldn't the debtor pull that money instead of reducing bids that would go to other 8 9 creditors? That's the question; right? The 2.8 million's already funded, but we're trying to deduct 10 11 it from bids, and that doesn't seem to make any sense. 12 MR. RYAN: We understand your articulation of the issue, and we thank you for 13 putting that on the record. The debtors will consider 14 that issue. 15 16 I do want to note right now that, while Braven has chosen not to make a bid, that was based on 17 18 the rules and valuations we announced at the beginning

19 of the last round. And so I understand your

20 perspective of the debtors, the perspective with

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 49 of 96

21 respect to Brightmark. But you know, the debtors also

22 need to be mindful of whether the process was also

23 fair, and maintains fair, and doesn't change after the

24 fact in a way that Braven might have acted

25 differently.

1 So the debtors also have duties to all 2 who have participated in the process. We're not 3 announcing a a decision right now. We understand your 4 concerns, and the debtors will confer to to discuss 5 those returns. But we did want to just note that it 6 is not from the debtor's perspective, at this point. 7 MR. MCMAHON : Well, I'd like to make a point here, though, because it's not like we're 8 9 proposing that we change the rules. What we're proposing is the value of assuming those transition 10 11 liabilities with Braven gone. Now the bids are 12 different. There's just different valuation. It's not changing the rules. It's 13

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 50 of 96

14 changing how you evaluate it. Just like Braven came 15 back with a \$25 million structured note, you guys went 16 and valued that. That's different. So I think the 17 debtor should be looking at this now. 18 MR. RYAN: We are going -- please let 19 me finish. Please, let's not interrupt. I've just been interrupted once. We're not going to conduct an 20 auction where people talk over each other and 21 22 interrupt. 23 We acknowledge your position. There have been values placed on components of bids in the 24

25 first round and in the second round. All I'm telling

you is the debtors will examine the issue you have raised. But I'm just letting you know that from the debtor's perspective, we also need to make sure it's fair to those who have participated and made decisions based on the previously announced valuations of bids, and that includes Braven's, someone who has

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 51 of 96

7 participated and has made decisions based on what 8 we've announced to be values.

9	So I hear your concern, and the debtors
10	are going to take it seriously. We will take a break
11	to discuss the concern. I see other people turning
12	their screens on. So while this is not going to turn
13	into oral argument, if people want to other bidders
14	want to weigh in on the issue briefly so that the
15	debtors have the perspective of the other two bidders
16	that remain, we will hear those perspectives.
17	This is not going to become a debate
18	among bidders, but we will hear if Brightmark Parent
19	and if UMB want to put their position on the record
20	with respect to whether valuation changed. And
21	frankly, we'll also let Braven weigh into, if that
22	would if they're going to say that that would have
23	affected their decision-making.
24	MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth

25 Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. We

want to consider the point, and we will reserve our 1 rights to weigh in when we revert back from a break. 2 3 Thank you. 4 MR. RYAN: Thank you. 5 MR. WORDEN : And just to be clear, if 6 I may, for Freepoint, our question is, why are the 7 post-petition expenses not being covered by the DIP 8 loan that seems to have availability? That's our 9 question. MR. RYAN: Yeah, we understand the 10 11 point. Mr. Rosenblatt? 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: This is Paul Rosenblatt for the Brightmark Parent. The \$2.8 13 million amount are expenses that would come due 14 15 post-closing, and the DIP expires on May 18th. So 16 there is no provision in the DIP to pay expenses that occur after the DIP expires, even if there was 17 remaining availability under the DIP. 18 19 MR. RYAN: Thank you for your position. I will now -- if Braven wants to offer a position on 20 this as well, we will entertain it -- or listen to it, 21 22 I'm sorry. 23 MR. SIMON: Yeah. This is Jim Simon

with Braven, and just to point to what Mr. Rosenblatt

24

25 just said, that's not how it was explained to us by

1 the bankers. So we'd want clarity on just what that 2 straddle liability is truly meant to cover. 3 All the information communicated to us, 4 it was as the definition in the APA states. It was for costs incurred from petition to closing, not 5 incurred after closing. So that's point one, and 6 point two, we'll have to talk amongst ourselves with 7 8 counsel before we give a final position. 9 MR. RYAN: I think, and I don't want to 10 speak for Mr. Rosenblatt too much. I think Mr. Rosenblatt's point was -- your definition of 11 straddle is correct. Mr. Rosenblatt's point is that 12 13 after May 16th, we do not have a DIP facility. So to the extent that things are due post May 16th, even if 14 15 there's availability, I no longer have a DIP loan. So I think that was his point. 16

And I would ask Braven -- you know, we

17

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 54 of 96

will consider this, as the debtors. We will discuss 18 19 it. We hear everyone's positions. We will keep this 20 discussion on the record for clarity. And so we will break for 30 minutes until --21 UNASSIGNED: -- 30 minutes? 22 23 MR. SIMON: Yes. We'll break for 30 minutes, and we'll come back on the record. The 24 25 debtors will announce what their position is with

1 respect to the issue that has been raised by 2 Freepoint. 3 (Off the record.) MR. RYAN: Madam Reporter, this is 4 5 Jeremy Ryan. We are back on the record. It is 1:52 p.m. The debtors have 6 considered the request of Freepoint to change the 7 method in which bids have been evaluated for the 8 9 auctions. The debtors also heard the viewpoints expressed on the record prior to breaking of other 10

11 parties.

12	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Jeremy, I apologize
13	for interrupting you. It's Beth Brownstein from
14	ArentFox Schiff. We didn't have a chance to weigh in,
15	so I don't know if you want to hear our weigh-in
16	before you discuss it.
17	MR. RYAN: Happy to have it. But we're
18	not going to just so you know I mean, I'm not
19	trying to be we have made a decision, so we're not
20	going to break again. But we're happy to have you put
21	your position on the record.
22	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Okay. Thank you.
23	Again, this is Beth Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on
24	behalf of UMB. Our position is that we do agree with
25	and support Freepoint's position as it was stated on

the record prior to the break. And if their position
 is adopted by the debtors, we do not believe that it
 prejudices is UMB. Thank you.

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 56 of 96

MR. RYAN: Thank you for that perspective. For the debtors, their perspective is to the participants of all parties in the process. And the debtors do not believe it is appropriate, in mid-round, to depart from the stated methods of evaluating bids based on who may or may not be in subsequent rounds of bids.

11 The valuation of bids was announced at 12 the outset. People did not object to the valuation of 13 bids at the outset. And we conducted the first round 14 based on that valuation, and the debtors will stick 15 with the valuations that they announced at the outset 16 of the auction.

17 We understand parties may disagree with that, and we understand parties may have redressed to 18 19 the court. And if the court disagrees with the 20 debtor's decision and directs the debtors to reopen the auction, the debtors will of course honor any 21 22 direction from the court. But the decision we have made today in this moment is that process must be 23 respected, and we cannot change process or valuation 24 mid-round or mid-auction. 25

1	So with that being said, I'll turn the
2	podium back over to Mr. Gupta. We have people's
3	positions on the record. If you want to put a further
4	bid in under protest, that's fine. I don't think we
5	need to you know, we have everyone's positions on
6	the record to date, so I don't think we need to
7	belabor the record to that.
8	So I'll turn the podium back over to
9	Mr. Gupta to go to the next round of the auction.
10	MR. GUPTA: All right. And I'll ask my
11	colleague, Nick, to open up round two on the
12	spreadsheet here. So with round two, the bidding goes
13	to Freepoint. The minimum bid would be \$7.75 million,
14	which would be a \$10.65 million cash offer from
15	Freepoint, or you could always assume the straddle
16	liabilities to reduce the cash.
17	Freepoint, would you like to bid?
18	MR. MCMAHON : Yes. It's Jeff McMahon
19	with Freepoint. We will agree to move our all-cash
20	offer to 10.65.
21	MR. GUPTA: All right. UMB, would you

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 58 of 96

22 like to increase your bid, which would -- similarly,

23 you could either help help with the credit bid

24 component of it by assuming straddle liabilities.

25 Otherwise, you'd have to increase your credit bid

1 about up to \$10.55 million. 2 MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. We 3 4 would like to credit bid for 10.55 million. 5 MR. GUPTA: Okay. And now Brightmark 6 Parent, would you like to increase your cash bid to \$7.75 million or higher? Is Mr. Rosenblatt on the 7 8 line? 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yeah. What is the amount that we need to raise? 10 MR. GUPTA: \$7.75 million is the 11 12 minimum bid amount. Well, that would be to match what 13 Freepoint and UMB have done on a net basis.

14 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes, we raise to 7.75.

15	MR. GUPTA: Thank you. All right.
16	We'll go to the next round of bidding, then. And the
17	minimum bid requirement is \$8 million, which for
18	Freepoint, that would require a \$10.9 million cash
19	offer, or you can reduce the cash amount by assuming
20	the straddle liabilities. Do you wish to bid?
21	MR. MCMAHON : Yes, we'll go to 10.9.
22	MR. GUPTA: All right.
23	MR. MCMAHON : Oh, sorry, this is Jeff
24	McMahon, Freepoint.
25	MR. GUPTA: Thank you, Jeff.

46

1UMB, would you like to bid? The2minimum bid requirement would be at least that \$10.83million credit bid, or you could assume the straddle4liabilities to reduce some of that credit bid5requirement.6MS. BROWNSTEIN : I apologize. The

7 Freepoint bid was 10.9?

8 MR. GUPTA: That's correct. And it's 9 net \$8 million. 10 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Okay. Beth Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. We 11 are prepared to increase our bid to 10.8 million. 12 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you, 13 Brightmark Parent, would you like to 14 15 bid? The minimum requirement would be \$8 million 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: Brightmark Parent bids 17 \$8 million. 18 MR. GUPTA: Thank you, Paul. 19 All right. We'll move on to round 20 four, then. The minimum net bid requirement is 8.25 million in this round. So for Freepoint, that would 21 22 mean either an \$11.15 million cash bid or a reduced 23 cash bid and assuming the straddle liabilities. Do you wish to bid? 24 25 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon for

Freepoint. We'll bid 11.150 million. 1 2 MR. GUPTA: Okay. So with that bid, 3 your net bid is \$8.25 million. 4 UMB, do you wish to bid? Your minimum bid requirement would be a credit bid of \$11.05 5 million or a lower credit bid and assuming the 6 7 straddle liabilities. 8 MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth 9 Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff. We are prepared to 10 credit bid 11.5 million -- 11.05 million. MR. GUPTA: Okay. All right. With 11 12 that your net bid is 8.25 million. 13 Brightmark Parent, do you wish to bid? Your minimum cash bid amount is 8.25 million? 14 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 15 16 Parent bids 8.25 million. 17 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 18 Going on to round five now. So the minimum net bid amount is \$8.5 million. Freepoint, 19 that would mean a \$11.4 million cash bid or a reduced 20 21 cash bid and assuming is straddle liabilities. Do you wish to bid? 22 23 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon. Freepoint moves our bid to \$11.4 million. 24 MR. GUPTA: All right. So the net bid 25

1 amount from Freepoint is \$8.5 million. 2 UMB, do you wish to bid? The credit 3 bid amount would have to be \$11.3 million in order to get to a net bid of 8.5 million. 4 5 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from UMB. We are prepared to bid \$11.3 million in credit 6 7 bid. 8 MR. GUPTA: Okay. So UMB now has a net 9 bid of 8 and a half million. Brightmark Parent, do you wish to bid? 10 Minimum cash bid of 8 and a half million. 11 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 13 Parentent bids 8.5 million. MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 14 All right. Going on to the next round 15 now. The minimum -- round six. Minimum bid amount is 16 \$8.75 million. 17 Freepoint, that would mean a cash bid 18

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 63 of 96

19 of \$11.65 million. Do you wish to bid?

20MR. MCMAHON : Yes, we will -- Jeff21McMahon for Freepoint increases our bid to 11.6522million.23MR. GUPTA: Okay. Thank you, Jeff. So24net bid of 8.75 million from Freepoint.

25 UMB, do you wish to bid? Your credit

49

1 bid amount would have to be \$11.55 million. 2 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff. We would like to credit bid 11.55 3 million. 4 5 MR. GUPTA: Great. So UMB'S net bid is also 8.75 million. 6 Brightmark Parent, do you wish to bid? 7 Minimum cash amount of 875. 8 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark Parent bids 8.75. 10 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 11

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 64 of 96

12	All right. We're going on to round
13	seven, then. Minimum bid amount is 9 million, which,
14	for Freepoint, would mean a minimum cash bid of \$11.9
15	million. Do you wish to bid?
16	MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon.
17	Freepoint bids 11.9 million.
18	MR. GUPTA: Freepoint with a net bid of
19	\$9 million.
20	UMB, the credit bid amount would have
21	to be \$11.8 million to meet the minimum \$9 million net
22	bid amount. Do you wish to bid?
23	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from
24	ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. We wish to credit
25	bid 11.8 million.

1	MR. GUPTA: Okay. And Brightmark
2	Parent, do you wish minimum bid amount is \$9
3	million of cash. Do you wish to bid?
4	MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark

Parent bids \$9 million. 6 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 7 On to round eight. Minimum bid amount 8 is \$9.25 million, so for Freepoint, that would mean a \$12.15 million cash offer. Do you wish to bid? 9 10 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon, 11 Freepoint, 12.15 million. 12 MR. GUPTA: Okay, thank you. 13 UMB, do you wish to bid? You would be 14 required a \$12.05 million credit bid to to meet the minimum \$9.25 million net bid. 15 16 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 17 UMB. We wish to credit bid at 12.05 million. 18 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 19 And Brightmark Parent, do you wish to 20 bid a minimum cash bid of 9.25? MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 21 22 Parent will bid 9.25 million. 23 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 24 Onto Round 9. Minimum bid amount of \$9.5 million. So for Freepoint, that would mean a 25

1 \$12.4 million cash offer. Do you wish to bid? MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon. 2 3 Freepoint bids 12.4 million. 4 MR. GUPTA: Okay, thank you. 5 UMB, minimum credit bid amount would 6 have to be \$12.3 million. Do you wish to bid? 7 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for UMB. We will credit bid 12.3 million. 8 9 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. And then 10 Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid amount of 9 and a half million dollars. Do you wish to bid? 11 12 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 13 Parent bids \$9.5 million. 14 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. Moving on to Round 10 now. Minimum bid 15 amount of 9.75 million. So Freepoint, minimum cash 16 bid of 12.65 million. Do you wish to bid? 17 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon with 18 Freepoint bids \$12.65 million. 19 20 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 21 UMB, minimum credit bid amount required 22 would be \$12.55 million. Do you wish to bid

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 67 of 96

52

23 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for

24 UMB. We will credit bid at 12.55 million.

25 MR. GUPTA: Thank you.

And Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid 1 2 of \$9.75 million. Do you wish to bid? 3 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 4 Parent bids \$9.75 million. 5 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 6 On to round 11. \$10 million net minimum 7 bid. For Freepoint, that would be aa \$12.9 million minimum cash bid. Do you wish to bid? 8 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon for 9 10 Freepoint bids 12.9 million. MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 11 UMB, minimum credit bid amount of \$12.8 12 13 million. Do you wish to bid? 14 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 15 UMB. We will credit bid 12.8 million.

MR. GUPTA: All right. And Brightmark 16 17 Parent, minimum cash bid of \$10 million. Do you wish 18 to bid? 19 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark Parent bids \$10 million. 20 21 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 22 Moving on to Round 12 now. Minimum net 23 bid of \$10.25 million. So Freepoint, that would mean 24 at \$13.15 million minimum cash bid. Do you wish to 25 bid?

```
1
                  MR. MCMAHON : Yeah. Jeff McMahon from
2
   Freepoint bids 13.15 million.
3
                  MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you,
   Jeff.
4
5
                  UMB minimum credit bid requirement
   would be $13.05 million. Do you wish to bid?
6
7
                  MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from
   ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. We will bid 13.05
8
```

9 million for credit bid.

10	MR. GUPTA: And Brightmark Parent,
11	minimum cash bid of \$10.25 million, you wish to bid?
12	MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark
13	Parent bids \$10.25 million.
14	MR. GUPTA: Thank you.
15	Round 13. Minimum net bid of 10 and a
16	half million dollars. Freepoint, that would mean a
17	minimum cash bid of \$13.4 million. Do you wish to
18	bid?
19	MR. MCMAHON : Yes, Jeff McMahon with
20	Freepoint bids \$13.4 million.
21	MR. GUPTA: Thank you.
22	UMB, minimum credit bid amount of \$13.3
23	million. Do you wish to bid?
24	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for
25	UMB. We will credit bid 13.3 million.

### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 70 of 96

Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid amount of \$10.5 2 3 million. Do you wish to bid? 4 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 5 Parent bids \$10.5 million. MR. GUPTA: Moving on to the next 6 7 round. Minimum net bid of \$10.75 million. For Freepoint, that means minimum cash bid of 13.65 8 9 million. Do you wish to bid? 10 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon with 11 Freepoint bids 13.65. 12 MR. GUPTA: UMB, minimum credit bid at 13 13.55 million. Do you wish to bid? MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 14 UMB. We will credit bid 13.55 million. 15 16 MR. GUPTA: Brightmark Parent, minimum 17 cash bid of \$10.75 million. You wish to bid? MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 18 19 Parent bids \$10.75 million. MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 20 21 All right. Round 15. Minimum net bid of \$11 million. Freepoint, that's a minimum cash bid 22 of 13.9 million. Do you wish to bid? 23 24 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon with 25 Freepoint bids 13.9 million.

1 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 2 UMB, minimum credit bid of 13.8 3 million. Do you wish to bid? 4 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for UMB. We will credit bid 13.8 million. 5 6 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 7 And then Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid of 11 million. Do you wish to bid? 8 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 10 Parent bids \$11 million. Can we take a short recess? MR. GUPTA: Yes, we can. How much time 11 do you need, Mr. Rosenblatt? 12 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: Ten minutes. 14 MR. GUPTA: Okay, sounds good. We'll put everybody in breakout rooms. 15 (Off the record.) 16 17 MR. GUPTA: We're back on the record 18 now. There was a concern raised by one of the bidders about the order of bidding. We've kept it consistent 19

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 72 of 96

20 throughout, and you know, I guess that the concern is 21 that maybe that some parties might have an advantage 22 for either being first, second, or third in the whole 23 thing. So debtors would like another few minutes to 24 discuss this.

25

MR. RYAN: Yeah. But if the party that

56

raised the concern could raise the concern on the
 record, please.

MR. ROSENBLATT: Hey, this is Paul Rosenblatt. There's two issues I want to raise. The first one is that there should be a difference in the order of bidding each round. It shouldn't be the same bidder that bids first, the same bidder that bids second, and the same bidder that bids third each pround.

10 That should be mixed up so that it
11 rotates evenly. Each bidder has an equal chance of
12 bidding first. Then the next round, that bidder would

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 73 of 96

bid second. Then the next round, that bidder would
bid third. So each party is in the mix of in what
order they bid.

16 The second issue I wanted to raise is 17 with regard to the Freepoint bid. The Freepoint --18 we've had a chance now to review all the redlines during the time that we've had during this auction, 19 and there's two provisions in the Freepoint bid that 20 21 we don't believe there's funding for under the DIP 22 loan to accomplish and that those provisions should be moved to a post-closing obligation of that buyer so 23 24 that they should not be obligations of the debtor to do prior to closing. 25

And the first one is Section 3.07, dealing with an EPA filing. And the second one is Section -- I'm sorry, 3.04 dealing with an EPA filing, and Section 5.07 with regard to delivering the plant in an idle and clean state. Those are obligations

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 74 of 96

6 that should be completed by the purchasing entity post7 -closing.

8	MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. Rosenblatt.
9	We will break for ten minutes to discuss with our
10	clients both issues you raised. While we're breaking
11	for issues, are there other issues that people want to
12	raise at this time that we should consider? All
13	right. Hearing none, we're going to take a ten-minute
14	break.
15	MR. MCMAHON : I'm sorry, I couldn't
16	get my microphone on. Just to be on the record, we
17	have no objection this is Jeff McMahon with
18	Freepoint on the order of the bidding.
19	MR. RYAN: Thank you, Mr. McMahon.
20	That is actually helpful.
21	Does UMB have a position on the order
22	of bidding?
23	MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth
24	Brownstein. We don't have a preference on the order
25	of bidding.

	58
1	MR. RYAN: Okay. Thank you. That's
2	very helpful to hear from all three parties. So we'll
3	take both of those points back under consideration.
4	We'll be back on in ten minutes.
5	(Off the record.)
6	THE REPORTER: Okay. On the record. I
7	am ready.
8	MR. GUPTA: Thank you.
9	MR. RYAN: Back on the record?
10	MR. GUPTA: Yes, she's already on the
11	record now.
12	MR. RYAN: Okay. The debtors had an
13	opportunity to confer with the representatives for
14	Freepoint regarding the issues raised by Brightmark
15	Parent before we went off the record last time.
16	The debtor's position on Section 3.04
17	is that this language that was included in the asset
18	purchase agreement is not an impediment to continuing
19	with the auction and not an impediment to closing,
20	should Freepoint end up being the successful bidder at
21	the conclusion of the auction. And that is because
22	this is a representation in warranty that would not
23	survive the closing, and it is not a condition to

24 closing.

25 As for Section 5.07 and as was stated

1 and confirmed by Freepoint on the record of the 2 auction, when we opened the auction, the debtors 3 agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to deliver the facility and the purchased assets in the 4 condition as stated in Section 5.07, and there will be 5 a whole backup of the purchase price of \$250,000 that 6 7 would be available to Freepoint, were it to be the 8 successful bidder, if that were not to occur. 9 And I would ask representatives of Freepoint to confirm their understanding of that as 10 well. 11 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon with 12 Freepoint confirming that's the understanding. 13 14 MR. ROSENBLATT: The commercially reasonable language is not in the APA. Is that going 15 to be modified to reflect that? 16

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 77 of 96

17	MR. RYAN: Yes. And that was the
18	understanding from earlier in the day.
19	MR. ROSENBLATT: And that condition in
20	5.07 is not a closing condition; is that correct?
21	MR. RYAN: That's correct.
22	MR. ROSENBLATT: Thank you. The
23	Brightmark Parent reserves all rights with respect to
24	this issue.
25	MS. BROWNSTEIN : And this is Beth

Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB.
 From a fairness and process perspective, we would have
 issues with modifying APAs that were previously agreed
 to when we started, and our understanding is that the

5 APA is not being modified. Thank you.

6 MR. BOLAND : And this is Jason Boland, 7 Norton Rose Fulbright. We also share a view, from a 8 fairness standpoint, we don't believe it's appropriate 9 to be negotiating the terms of an APA mid-auction, ten

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 78 of 96

10 rounds in, so we prefer the auction to resume.

11 MR. RYAN: Understood, and thank you 12 all. 13 MR. GUPTA: Okay. With that, I think we'll proceed with the auction now. 14 15 MR. RYAN: Changing orders? MR. GUPTA: Yeah. If you could pull up 16 the spreadsheet, Nick, we can talk about the order of 17 18 of bidding now. 19 Pursuant to Brightmark Parents' request to update the order or rotate the order, we are going 20 21 to be doing that going forward. So each party will 22 rotate in their order. For this next round, UMB will 23 go first, Brightmark Parent second, Freepoint third, 24 and then it'll continue to adjust. Brightmark Parent

will go first next round, and then Freepoint second,

25

UMB third, and then it'll go back to Freepoint being
 first, UMB second, Brightmark Parent third. And we'll

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 79 of 96

keep doing that for the remainder of the auction as 3 all three parties are participating. 4 5 Assuming no comments to that, we will proceed. We're at round 16. Minimum net bid is 6 \$11.25 million. 7 8 UMB, the minimum credit bid you'd be 9 required, it would be \$14.05 million. Do you wish to 10 bid 11 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 12 UMB. We will bid 14.05 million credit bid. 13 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 14 Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid would be \$11.25 million. Do you wish to bid? 15 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark Parent bids \$11.25 million. 17 18 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 19 And Freepoint, minimum cash bid would be \$14.15 million. Do you wish to bid? 20 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon for 21 22 Freepoint. We bid 14.150 million. MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 23 On to Round 17, minimum net bid of 24 25 \$11.5 million.

62

1 Brightmark Parent, do you wish to bid at that cash amount or higher? 2 3 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 4 Parent bids at \$11.5 million. 5 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. Freepoint, minimum cash bid of \$14.4 6 million. Do you wish to bid? 7 8 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon for 9 Freepoint. We bid 14.4 million. 10 MR. GUPTA: All right. And UMB, 11 minimum credit bid of \$14.3 million. Do you wish to 12 bid? 13 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 14 UMB. We'll bid 14.3 credit bid. 15 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. Round 18, minimum net bid of \$11.75 16 million. Freepoint, that would be a minimum cash bid 17 of \$14.65 million. Do you wish to bid? 18 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. Jeff McMahon for 19 Freepoint. We bid 14.65 million. 20

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 81 of 96

63

21 MR. GUPTA: Thank you.

22 UMB, minimum credit bid of \$14.55

```
23 million. Do you wish to bid?
```

24 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for

25 UMB. We will credit bid 14.55 million.

1 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 2 And Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid 3 of 11.75 million. Do you wish to bid? 4 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 5 Parent bids 11.75 million. 6 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 7 Round 19, minimum net bid of \$12 8 million. UMB, that's a minimum credit bid of \$14.8 million. Do you wish to bid? 9 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from 10 UMB. We will credit bid 14.8 million. 11 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 12 Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid of 13

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 82 of 96

\$12 million. Do you wish to bid? 14 15 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark 16 Parent bids \$12 million. 17 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. And Freepoint, minimum cash bid of 18 19 \$14.9 million. Do you wish to bid? MR. MCMAHON : Jeff McMahon for 20 21 Freepoint. We bid \$14.9 million, and we'd like to 22 request a brief intermission. MR. GUPTA: All right. Can we keep it 23 to five minutes? 24 25 MR. MCMAHON : We're going to need

64

longer than that. Can we go to maybe 15? Maybe the
 half hour here?
 MR. GUPTA: Yeah. Let's try for 15, if
 that works. Yeah, so reconvene around 3:30 here. So
 we'll put everybody in breakout rooms for 15 minutes.
 (Off the record.)

MR. GUPTA: Chanyri, are you ready? 7 8 We're going back on the record? 9 THE REPORTER: Yes. 10 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. All right. We'll put the bid 11 12 spreadsheet back up. So we're on round 20 now, and BM Parent is is leading off this round. Minimum cash bid 13 14 of \$12.25 million. 15 Brightmark Parent, do you wish to bid? 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark Parent bids 12,250,000. 17 18 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you. 19 Freepoint, minimum cash bid requirement 20 of \$15.15 million. Do you wish to bid? 21 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. It's Jeff McMahon 22 with Freepoint. We bid 15.15 million. MR. GUPTA: Okay. UMB, minimum credit 23 bid of \$15.05 million. Do you wish to bid? 24 25 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein from

1 We credit bid 15.05 million. UMB. 2 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 3 I'll go to round 21 now. Freepoint, minimum net bid of \$12.5 million. That would be a 4 minimum cash bid of \$15.4 million. Do you wish to 5 6 bid? 7 MR. MCMAHON : Yes, Jeff McMahon with 8 Freepoint. Wwe bid 15.4 million cash portion, and 9 then we would add our NPI concept that we had in original bid of a \$40 million cap, four zero. 10 11 MR. GUPTA: Okay. So Jeff, can you 12 expand on that? Would it be similar terms in terms of payment in 2027 based off of net profit interest up to 13 40 million? Is that right? 14 15 MR. MCMAHON : Yes. That's our 16 expectation. MR. GUPTA: But it would first be --17 your purchase price would be credited first before any 18 of that could be paid out? 19 UNASSIGNED: Right. So the first 15 --20 in this case, if 15.4 was the winner, first 15 million 21 22 would come to Freepoint, and then 100 percent of the 23 waterfall would then go to the NPI holder until they reach their cap of 40 million. 24

MR. GUPTA: Okay. Got it. Take a five

25

66 1 minute break? 2 MR. RYAN: Yeah. Yeah. Yeah, we should take a five minute break. 3 4 MR. GUPTA: Okay. I'll put everybody 5 into breakout rooms. We'll be right back. 6 (Off the record.) 7 MR. GUPTA: Chanyri, we're going back 8 on the record again now. So the debtor's had a chance to discuss 9 Freepoint's offer, and our view in terms of the net 10 profit interest has not changed from the beginning of 11 the auction even. Even though the magnitude of the, 12 the cap of it has increased, the hurdle to get to any 13 of the net -- to realize any of the net profit 14 15 interest has increased as the purchase price has 16 increased. And so we're still not assigning any value in the bid to the net profit interest. 17

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 86 of 96

18	That said, Freepoint's cash bid did
19	meet the minimum requirement for this round, so we
20	will continue with the other bidders now.
21	So UMB, minimum credit bid of \$15.3
22	million. Do you wish to bid?
23	MR. SLADE : Beth Brownstein this is
24	Michael Slade from UMB. And yes, we wish to bid.
25	MR. UNRAD : Yes. Beth stepped away

67 for a minute. But thank you, Michael. 1 MR. GUPTA: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought 2 3 she was on. MR. UNRAD : She was. She had to step 4 away. But Michael's bid is affirmative for UMB. 5 6 MR. GUPTA: Okay. Thank you, \$15.3 7 million credit bid for UMB. Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid of 8 \$12.5 million? 9 MR. ROSENBLATT: Brightmark Parent bids 10

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 87 of 96

11 \$12.5 million. 12 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. 13 So for Round 22, UMB will be the first bidder. Minimum credit bid at \$15.5 million to get to 14 the net bid of 12.75 million. For this round, UMB, do 15 16 you wish to bid? MR. SLADE : Yes, this is UMB. We wish 17 18 to bid. 19 MR. GUPTA: Confirming that you wish to bid the minimum 15.55 million --20 21 MR. SLADE : That's correct. Yes, 22 that's correct. 23 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid of 24 25 \$12.75 million. Do you wish to bid?

MR. ROSENBLATT: Yes. Brightmark
 Parent bids \$12,750,000.
 MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you.

4 Freepoint minimum cash paid of \$15.65 5 million. Do you wish to bid? 6 MR. MCMAHON : We do not intend to 7 increase our cash offer at this stage, but we'd like to make the statement for the record. 8 9 Jason, if you want to --10 MR. BOLAND : And again for the record, Jason Boland with Norton Rose. As Jeff mentioned, we 11 12 don't intend to increase our bid. We just want to 13 state on the record that we do still believe that our bid has been undervalued by \$2.8 million. We believe 14 15 there's unsecured DIP financing that is available to cover these costs and that those costs are being 16 unfairly shifted onto a bidder, namely Freepoint. 17 18 We believe our bid, as we sit here 19 today, at this point, is the highest and best bid out 20 there. We appreciate the debtors and their advisors have a different view, which we respect. So with 21 that, we won't be making an additional offer. Thank 22 23 you. 24 MR. GUPTA: Noted. Thank you. 25 Sorry, I may have gotten out of order

on that round. 1 2 MR. RYAN: I think we're moving on to 3 the next round; right? MR. GUPTA: Yeah, I just didn't -- I'm 4 5 sorry. Did Brightmark Parent already confirm 6 their bid of 12.75 million? 7 8 MR. RYAN: They did. 9 MR. GUPTA: Okay. Sorry. We just 10 didn't populate it on the spreadsheet. 11 MR. SLADE : This is UMB. Are we -- is 12 this round clear? 13 MR. GUPTA: Yes, it is. So this round 14 is clear. So now we have two bidders remaining, UMB and Brightmark Parent. As we discussed at the 15 beginning in terms of protocol, at this point, we'll 16 just be going to back and forth bids, and each bid 17 would have to be increased at the \$250,000 bid 18 19 increment. Just give us one minute to update our spreadsheet for, for the the new protocol. 20 MR. ROSENBLATT: Can we take five 21

#### Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 90 of 96

22 minutes while you do that?

23 MR. GUPTA: Yes.

24 MR. RYAN: We can go off the record for

25 five minutes, please.

1 (Off the record.) 2 MR. GUPTA: We've updated the 3 spreadsheet accordingly where we are going to stick at 4 the \$250,000 bid increments, and the order as we 5 discussed at beginning the auction will be UMB in each round and Brightmark Parent going after that. And 6 each bid will have to be at that \$250,000 increment at 7 this point as opposed to each round settling out at a 8 9 a specific number. So Round 23, starting, the minimum bid 10 from UMB would have to be net \$13 million, so a \$15.8 11 12 million credit bid. UMB, would you like to bid? 13 MS. BROWNSTEIN : This is Beth Brownstein from ArentFox Schiff on behalf of UMB. 14 We

# Case 25-10472-LSS Doc 181-1 Filed 05/08/25 Page 91 of 96

would request -- we're actually on the phone with our 15 16 client right now, and we would request a 30-minute 17 break to confer with our client. MR. GUPTA: Okay. We'll put everybody 18 in breakout rooms for 30 minutes. Thank you. 19 (Off the record.) 20 MR. GUPTA: Back to bidding now. We'll 21 22 put up the spreadsheet again. All right. So where we 23 left it, the bid is to UMB, minimum net bid of \$13 million, which would be a minimum credit bid of \$15.8 24 million. UMB, would you like to bid? 25

71

1	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Yes. Beth Brownstein
2	for UMB. We will credit bid 15.8 million.
3	MR. GUPTA: All right. Thank you.
4	And now with the \$250,000 increments on
5	each bid now, Brightmark Parent, \$13.25 million cash
6	is the minimum. Would you like to bid?
7	MR. ROSENBLATT: One second. So

8	they're at 13 million net?
9	MR. GUPTA: Correct.
10	MR. ROSENBLATT: So we're at
11	Brightmark Parent will bid 13,250,000.
12	MR. GUPTA: Thank you.
13	Now to Round 24. UMB, minimum credit
14	bid of \$16.3 million. Do you wish to bid?
15	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for
16	UMB. We will bid 16.3 million credit bid.
17	MR. GUPTA: Thank you.
18	And Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid
19	of \$13.75 million. Do you wish to bid?
20	MR. ROSENBLATT: It's 13.75?
21	MR. GUPTA: That's correct. The net
22	bid from UMB was 13.5 million, so the minimum net bid
23	for Brightmark Parent is 13.75.
24	MR. ROSENBLATT: Okay. Just give me
25	two seconds.

1 MR. GUPTA: Sure. 2 MR. ROSENBLATT: Brightmark Parent bids 3 13.75 million. 4 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. Now on to Round 25. To UMD, minimum 5 net bid of 14 million, which would be a minimum credit 6 7 bid of \$16.8 million. Do you wish to bid? 8 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Beth Brownstein for 9 UMB. We will credit bid 16.8 million. 10 MR. GUPTA: Thank you. And Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid 11 12 of \$14.25 million. Do you wish to bid? 13 MR. ROSENBLATT: I need to take two 14 seconds. Hold on. 15 MR. GUPTA: Okay. 16 MR. ROSENBLATT: I need ten minutes, 17 but I'll keep it to ten. MR. RYAN: That's fine. We'll be back 18 at 5:26. 19 (Off the record.) 20 MR. GUPTA: Let's go back on the 21 record, then. And where we left off the bid is to 22 23 you, Brightmark Parent, minimum cash bid of \$14.25 million. Do you wish to bid? 24 MR. ROSENBLATT: Yeah, I've reached my 25

authority, so I'm making my last bid. So if -- the 1 2 bond holders know what to do, if they want to do it. 3 My last bid is \$14.25 million. MR. GUPTA: Okay, understood. 4 5 The bid is to UMB, then. Minimum credit bid of \$17.3 million would get you to a \$14.5 6 million net bid. UMB, do you wish to bid? 7 MS. BROWNSTEIN : Can I just have one 8 9 minute to confer? 10 MR. GUPTA: Sure. MS. BROWNSTEIN : UMB will bid 17.3 11 12 million credit bid. 13 MR. GUPTA: Mr. Rosenblatt, I know you mentioned that that was your last bid, but I'll still 14 give you the opportunity again. Minimum bid of \$14.75 15 16 million cash. Do you wish to bid? 17 MR. ROSENBLATT: Give me two seconds. Brightmark Parent has no further bids.

18

19	MR. GUPTA: Okay agree with that. I
20	guess we
21	MR. RYAN: Declare the bidders and
22	backup bidders.
23	MR. GUPTA: The winning bidders is UMB
24	with a net bid of 14 point dollars on a credit bid
25	of 17.3 million. And Brightmark Parent is the backup

74

1	bidder at a cash bid of \$14.25 million. And anything
2	else in closing we need to do here?
3	MR. RYAN: No, that's it. I think
4	MS. BROWNSTEIN : I think yeah, just
5	that we reserve all of our rights with respect to the
6	backup bid and which is the highest and best for the
7	backup bid based on the discussions we had earlier
8	today about the Freepoint bid and where it left off.
9	So I just want to put that on the record that we
10	reserve our rights.
11	MR. RYAN: Okay. We expect you to

12 close on your bid and that to be irrelevant, but

13 that's --

14	MS. BROWNSTEIN : Understood. Just
15	putting it on the record.
16	MR. RYAN: On the record. Understood.
17	So we'll work with UMB on their form of sale order.
18	MR. GUPTA: Well, thank you everybody.
19	I know there were a lot of stops and starts today, but
20	I appreciate everybody's patience and perseverance
21	here. With that, the auction is closed and we're off
22	the record.
23	(Whereupon, at 5:30 p.m., the proceeding was
24	concluded.)
25	